G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1919-20.
~~1921~~

File No. 209/19

Serial Nos 9 & 10.

(CB)

Later references.
CB 120-14-11412

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 9 & 10.

Part B for June 1922

SUBJECT.

Representation of Mr. G. H. Krumbiegal, Superintendent of Gardens, regarding his pay and increments during the period of the recent war.

To

The Comptroller, Mysore Govt.
The President, Bangalore City Municipal Council
Dis. 7412-3 Dy. 9-6-1922

Approves the proposal of the President, Bangalore City Municipal Council with regard to payment to Mr. Krumbiegal, for plans & estimates prepared for certain works relating to the Municipality.

WD 1888—GPB—16,926—23-7-21

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

CB File No. 209 of 19 19.

Serial No.

9 From the President, Bangalore city Mnl Council No. 236 dy 21.4.22

With reference to Govt No. 2791-3 CB 209-16-6 dy 19.11.21 re payment to Mr. Kinniburgh for plans & estimates prepared for certain works relating to the Municipality, states that as one of the works relates to city improvement works ~~for which Rs 252 is~~ out of 252 Rs to be paid on this account Rs. 84/- will be debited to Mnl. funds & Rs. 168/- will have to be borne by Govt. in the proportion of 1/3 & 2/3 respy

* mentioned as 128 in the letter

Note on the original letter

1. Subd for orders with references. The Revs. Sectt. may be consulted.

Mnd. K.M.C. 25/4/22 Mnd. K.S.R.

2) See marginal note Mnted. J.A.G. 26/4/22

Subd.

3) The figure 128 Rs. in para 2 of the letter is a mistake. It should be 168 Rs.

4) G.O. No. 6317-20 Mnl. 87-17-32 dy 9.5.18 lays down the proportion in which the cost of the Bangalore city improvement works is to be borne by the Govt & the Municipality.

Mnd. K.M.C. 25/4/22 Mnd K.S.R.

5) Please bring up Mnted. J.A.G.

b) Is there any general order fixing the Govt. Contribution at 2/3 for all city improvement works

Mnted. J.A.G.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

6932 (C 4045

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | CB File No. 207 of 1919.

Serial No.

K

7.) There does not appear to be any general ft. on the subject. In the above ft. as well as in ft. No. 1297-347 Jud. 112-14-13 of 13-8-1915 quoted, the principle as regards contribution seems to apply to the works referred to in them. The work in question viz. improvement of the road leading to the Palace is not included in either of the ft. It appears to be a work sanctioned later on separately.

Initd. K.S.K. 9/3/22 Initd. K.S.K.

8) The President's attention will be drawn to this & it will be ascertained as what portion of the cost is claimed as ext. contribution.

Chief Secy) Initd. J.A.G. 12/5/22

10) This may be sent to the L.S.G. branch for remarks.

Initd. K.M. 12/5/22

11) To the L.S.G. section.

Initd 12/5/22

12) In connection with the visit of the Viceregal party to the Bangalore City in the month of Nov 1919, improvements of the Avenue Road from the Palace Gate to the junction of the Cunningham

L 6932

REVENUE & JUDICIAL SECRETARIATE
12 MAY 1922
LOCAL SELF GOVT. BRANCH.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C.B File No. 209 of 1919

4045

Serial No.

The election is held under the Municipal Act and the Chairman is elected for the ensuing year. Put up for sanction and orders.

Sd/- [illegible]
17/5

Road at a cost of Rs 15,000/- were sanctioned in G.O.No.R 4440-1/Rd 2-19-09 dtd 13th October 1919 and the Cost thereof was ordered to be debited to the City Improvement Trust.

13) The incidental charge in question amounting to Rs 252/- will have to be debited to the Municipal and State Funds in the proportion of 1/3rd and 2/3rds respectively as proposed by the Municipality.

16.5.22.

16/5/22 (Conft Branch)

17/5/22

15 Submitted with reference to para 10 supra

17/5/22

16 It is presumed that the work in question is the one referred to in the above G.O. In accordance with the above G.O. the municipality will have to bear 1/3 of the cost, the rest being borne by Govt. The incidental charges will also have to be shared similarly. In G.O No 2791-3 dated 19th Nov 1921 (Dept P) an additional grant of Rs 7112 was sanctioned to the P.W.D for meeting the Govt share of the charges to be paid to Mr Krumbiegel. The present charge viz Rs 168. will also have to be shared as an additional grant, unless the P.W.D is able to effect another saving

Chief Secy

17/5

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

CB File No. 109 of 19 19

4045

Serial No.

1) Arrear arrears due to the City Improvement Trust received from the Municipalities. If so can amount thus realised be a debit to such accounts?

Revenue Accts

by
29/5
Asstt to S.B.
Asst
29/5
by

2) In the current year's budget of the Bangalore city municipality there is a provision of Rs 1,12,500/- inclusive of the Govt share of Rs 75,000/- for expenditure on city improvement works. The Govt share of the charges now in question may be debited to the above provision.

3) This office has no information whether the Govt share of Rs 75,000/- for city improvements has been fully received by the Municipalities.

28/5 ASM 29.5.1929 (Chief Secy)

DCS 29/5/29

Remit the draft sanctioned by the Trust proposal, debiting the amt (Rs 168) to the head mentioned above (A) - and send Receipt for encashment before issue

by
29/5

29/5/29

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. K. S. III-21

404/55

General and Revenue Secretariat.

CB File No. 205 of 1915.

Serial No.	
	Draft- SubW.
	Secretary 2/6/22 Submitted for perusal of the Hon'ble Draft before issue as ~~above~~. ~~The~~ Chief Secy's note dated 27-5-22
	Rev. Secy 3/6 Hon'ble 3/6 Secy 2/6/22
	Done 6/6
	To The Comptroller to Govt. & President, Bangalore City Municipal Council.
10	No 7412-3/C.B. dy 9.6.1922. Approves the proposal of the President, Bangalore City Municipal Council with regard to payment to Mr. Krumbiegel, for plans and estimates prepared for certain works relating to the Municipality.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

C.B. 4045
5034
C.B. 1922-09-09

From The President
City Municipal Council
Bangalore

To The Secretary to Government
Rev Department
Bangalore

Dated
Despatched } 21.4.1922

Received

General No. 236
No.

GEN & REV. S
22 APR 1922

Enclosure No.

Subject Payment of Remuneration of Rs 378/- to Mr. Krumbiegel

Requesting to accord sanction for the payment of Rs 126/- from the municipal funds for the 1st item of work & only Rs 84/- for the 2nd item of work total Rupees 210 against Rs 378/-

Submitted for orders with reference
25/4/22 The Revenue Branch
Committed.

See marginal notes
26/4

Sanctioned.

The figure 128 Rs in para 2 of the letter is a mistake. It should be 168 Rs.

G.O. No. 6317-20 dy 9.5.18
Mnl-87-17-32.

lays down the proportion in which the cost of new Bazaar cutting work is to be borne by the Govt & the Municipality.

29/4/22 Please write to the Municipality to know any special circumstances under which the Govt ordered the Municipality to pay for all cutting works

Put 9/5/22 order for

9/5/22 There does not appear to be any general G.O. on the subject.

In the above G.O. as well as G.O. No. 1297-347 Mnl 112-14-13 dy 13.8.1915 laid up the principle as regards contribution seems to apply only to the works referred to in them. The work in question viz. "Improvement of the road leading to Mudalapalya" is not included in either of the G.O.s. Happens to be a work sanctioned later on depending

9/5/22 the President's attention will be drawn to this & it will be ascertained on what authority the Govt sanctioned as Govt Contribution

chief Secy 12/5

K

This may be sent for
12.5.22
for remarks by
15/5

No. Gool. 236 21.4.22

OFFICE OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,

Bangalore City, dated 19th April 1922.

21

From ANANDA RAO SIRSI, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,
President, Municipal Council,
BANGALORE CITY.

To

A. V. Ramanathan, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department, (in charge) Bangalore.

Sir,

B Deeb 21

With reference to the Government Order No.2791-3/C.B. 209-19-6 dated 19-11-1921 directing the payment of Rs.378/- to Mr.Krumbiegel for plans and estimates prepared by him for certain works relating to the City Municipality, I have the honor to state that the works for which his professional advice was rendered are (1) the Lal-Bagh Western Extension and (2) the complete realignment and improvement of Palace Road, Bangalore costing Rs.15000/- in all (5000 plus 10,000).

The 1st work is purely a Municipal concern and the payment of remuneration for this work is a proper charge on the Municipal Funds. The other one costing Rs.10,000/- relates to the improvement of the road leading to the Palace and is one of the sanctioned City Improvement works, the proper charge of which has to be debited to the City Improvement Funds. So out of Rs.378/- required to be paid, Rs.126/- will be paid from the Municipal Funds on account of 1st work referred to above and Rs.252/- from the Improvement Funds on account of the second work in which case Rs.84/- will be debited to Municipal Funds and Rs.128/- will be borne by Government in the proportion of 1/3rd and 2/3rds respectively.

168?

The Division the work ub does not come under the Road. Where one the rules

I request that the Government will be pleased to accept the above proposal.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

President.

HRS-20.

G.R.S. VI G 34]

SUBJECT..... Ab (9

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to..... .....

Section C.B

File No. 209 - 19

Serial No. 10.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* *192* .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue.... 9/6/22

Signed by... M. Appajiseda.

Read—

Letter No. 236 dy 21.4.1922 from the President Bengaluru City Mcl. Council, inviting ~~sanction~~ / ~~sanction~~, with reference to govt. order No. 2791-3 / CB 209-19-6 dy 19.11.21 directing the payment of Rs. 378/- to Mr. G.H. Krumbiegel for plans & estimates prepared by him for works relating to the City Municipality, stating that one of the said works viz., realignment & improvement of the Palace Road Bengaluru City is one of the sanctioned City Improvement works the proper charge of which is debitable to the City Impt. funds, ~~of the Imp~~

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Order Thereon: No

of Rs. 252/- to be recd on account of last work Rs. 84/- will have to be debited to Mnl. Funds & Rs. 168/- will have to be borne by Govt. in the proportion of 1/3rd & 2/3rds respy and requesting approval to the same.

the sum of Rs 252 payable to Mr Krumbeigel on account of the same will have to be debited to Municipal Funds & Govt in the proportion of 1/3 & 2/3rds respectively & requesting approval to the same

---

Order:— M - 7412-3/CB dy 9/6/22

The proposal of the President Bangalore City Mnl. Council is approved, the Govt. share of the charge viz Rs 168. being debited to the provision of Rs. 1,12,500/- for City Improvements works in the current year's budget, which includes the Govt-share of Rs. 75,000/-

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

Chief Secy to Govt

To The Comptroller & Mnl. President Bangalore City Mnl. Council

C/y to Rev. Secy.

744/CB dy 9/6/22

WD 1885—GPB—1,000,00—21-12-21